## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/711,836	SUN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

		BIOTHE TILT ENDEET ON	2027
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REF	PLY FILED <u>25 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.
app app for	e reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on plication, applicant must timely file one of the following plication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Ciods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing		
b) 🔀	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL PERIOD CONTINUE OF THE FINAL PERIOD CO	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection.
have beer under 37 ( set forth ir may reduc	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date if filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exic CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sign (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later are any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	e Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two months of the date of
filin	g the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exterice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
(a)	e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, because They raise new issues that would require further contained. They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	
(c)	They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a	ter form for appeal by materially rec	
(u)	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.
4. 🔲 Th	e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	oplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		(
6.   Ne	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all n-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	
hov The Cla Cla Cla	r purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) verthe new or amended claims would be rejected is proved status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: im(s) allowed: im(s) objected to: im(s) rejected: im(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an explanation of
	/IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
bed	e affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu cause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
ent	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o owing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ll and/or appellant fails to provide a
	ne affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation ST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
	ne request for reconsideration has been considered bu ee Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
	ote the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( ther:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	
-	e Young/ isory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Although the Applicant's arguments with regard to the application of KENJO, have been considered, the Applicant has failed to clearly resolve those issues relating to the USC 112 first paragraph rejection as set forth in the Official action mailed 5/5/08. It remains unclear as to why one of ordinary skill in the art would define any area being used for OPC purposes as a non-OPC area. To state differently, in using a data area for OPC purposes, said area is then fairly defined as a data area dedicated to OPC purposes, given that a particular set of conditions are satisfied. The Applicant has failed to further identify the "data areas not originally dedicated to performing OPC", and therefore, the USC 112 first paragraph rejection is maintained.